App't IX-I

1938

File No. 298. 38

Previous References

Serial Nos. 1- 2

Letter References.

Dep. PAS-48

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for May 1939.

SUBJECT.

Improvements to Sri Lakshmi-
narayanaswami Temple at Hosakotalu,
Krishnarajapet Taluk.

No. 1987-90 of 10.5.39

WD 0418 GPB 4-22,500 4/39 S&S

Lemma in recommendation

Public Works Secretariat. | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

Subject —

Improvements to Sri Lakshminarayana Swamy Temple at Hosahobale Kasaba

– Per Taluk

From :- Mysore Commissioner No. 6 C/

Dated 6th March 1937.

---

1 The estimate is forwarded by the Executive Engineer, Hassan Division for the above work for Rs. 11506/ and the Superintending Engineer, Mysore Circle has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Chief Engineer has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Board of Revenue has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Government of India has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Secretary of State has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Viceroy has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the King has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Queen has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Prince of Wales has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of York has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Gloucester has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Kent has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Edinburgh has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Windsor has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Cambridge has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Connaught has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Clarence has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Albany has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Argyll has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Atholl has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Bedford has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Buccleuch has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Devonshire has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Grafton has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Hamilton has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Leeds has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Manchester has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Marlborough has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Montrose has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Norfolk has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Northumberland has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Portland has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Richmond has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Rutland has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Somerset has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Sutherland has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Wellington has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Westminster has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Wiltshire has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Worcester has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of York has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Yorkshire has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Zetland has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Abercorn has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Argyll has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Atholl has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Bedford has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Buccleuch has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Devonshire has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Grafton has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Hamilton has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Leeds has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Manchester has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Marlborough has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Montrose has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Norfolk has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Northumberland has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Portland has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Richmond has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Rutland has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Somerset has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Sutherland has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Wellington has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Westminster has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Wiltshire has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Worcester has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of York has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Yorkshire has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only; the Duke of Zetland has recommended it for sanction for Rs. 4535 only;

2 The estimate may be sanctioned for Rs. 1,005-10-

3 [illegible]

Note.—Contn sheet

WD 581—GBPM—5,000—19 10 32

Rs.

3/3

37

210.To.652
298-38
24-3-

Public Works Secretariat. | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

5 Passed on, with compliments, to the General Secretary to Government.

2 (b) The modified estimate has been recommended by this Office and it may be sanctioned for Rs ~~12000/-~~ 12,000/- as requested.

20/3/38.
Chief Engineer.

24/3/38

1241 m.

The Mungpu commissioner has requested Sanction to (i) the revised estimate for Rs 12000/ as ~~Rs~~ corrected by the Chief Engineer and (ii) to meet a sum of Rs 1097-12-7 be from the next year's State and General Mungpu Road Grant for "construction and Repairs". Submitted for orders.

The M. ci recommends.

30/3

Secy

2nd Asst

30/3

2/4

RECEIVED
1 MAY 1939
1246

and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 . | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

(1) Subs with a draft Govt. Sanads

4.4.39.

4/4/39

Sm Ur
8/5/39

TYPING SECTION
9 MAY 1939
GEN. & REV. SECTT.

Go 1987-90/S 10.5.39
The M.C in Mysore
Comptroller
" Chief Engineer
" S.C Mysore

Forwarding the recommendation of the M.C

26/5/39

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0544—GPB—30,000 -2-9-83

File No. of 193

No. C 661/37-38
Epitome

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 6th March 1939

8918
5-24

FROM

S. ABDUL WAJID, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Appaji Gowda, Esq., B.A. (Hon.),
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department

Through

The Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

Improvements to Sri Lakshminarayanaswami Temple at Hosahol la, Krishnarajpet Taluk.

With reference to G.O.No. 64-66/Mus.140-38-3 dated 17/18-12-19 sanctioning an estimate for Rs. 12,100 for the above work, I have the honor to forward herewith letter No.H.163/37-38 dated 10-2-1939, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, together with the file of records, and to state as follows:-

The sanctioned estimate provided a sum of Rs. 7,340 for the construction of a compound wall and a sum of Rs. 4,760 for the acquisition charges, and the total cost of the estimate had to be met as noted below.

		Rs.
1)	Government Grant.	7,575
2)	Donations from Sri Rangaiyangar Setty and Sri. Somanna Setty.	2,525
3)	Do. adjustment through work bills.	2,000
4)	Temple funds.	2,000
	Total.	12,100

It was reported by the Sub Division Officer that the acquisition proceedings were completed, and awards amounting to Rs. 5,225-5-7 had been paid, thus exceeding the sanctioned amount provided in the estimate, by Rs. 465-5-7, and that, for want of sufficient funds, a sum of Rs. 203-14-7 only has been paid from temple funds towards its quota of Rs. 2,000. Sufficient funds were, therefore, not available for the construction of the compound wall.

The Deputy Commissioner, who inspected the place, is o
that more houses than were necessary had been acquired. Accord.
modified estimate for 11,566 and plan, enclosed herewith, have
e prepared by the Executive Engineer, Mandya Division, omitting the
ouses no not required.

It is explained by the Deputy Commissioner that the total cost of th
odified estimate ought to be 12,006-10-7, as the actual cost of acquisi-
ion of the houses now proposed amounts to 4,535-10-7, instead of
s 5,005, s provided by the Executive Engineer.

It is, therefore, recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, that a
sum of 1,097-12-0 still required for the construction of the compound
all may be met out of the General Muzrai Funds and the modified estimate
sanctioned for 12,006-10-7.

representation dated 28-2-1938 (enclosed herewith), in the meanwhile,
has been received in this office from the donor, Mr. Venkata Setty, stating
that the clear space in front, between the temple and compound wall, which
as originally proposed to be 65 ft., has been now reduced by 15 ft., by
changing the position of the compound wall; and praying that this space
being insufficient for installing Garuda, Dhwaja etc., the compound wall
may be constructed at the original spot itself and the road omitted.

The Agamiks of this office, who were consulted in the matter, have
stated in their report dated 1-3-1938 (enclosed herein), that a clear
space of 40 ft. should be left between the temple and the compound wall.

I, therefore, propose that the compound wall may be constructed as
per suggestion of the Agamiks and the available 4 ft. in front utilised
for a foot-path; and request that sanction of Government may kindly be
obtained to this proposal and the modified estimate sanctioned for Rs
12,006-10-7, as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, and sanction
may also be accorded for a sum of 1,097-12-0 being met out of the next
year's State and General Muzrai Fund Grant for construction and repairs,
as the current year's allotment has been already distributed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

[Copy to S.E., M.C., for info.]

Sub 9

Passed on w/c to the General Secretary to Govt.

8/3/38

M. A. 8/3/38
Chief Engineer, PWD.
Bangalore.

Letters

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Mung.

File No. 295-38

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 10/5/ 1939.

Signed by Mr S.K.V. Iyer

Read—

Govt order No 834-36/Mng 140-35, 3 dated 18.12.1935 forwarding a revised estimate for Rs 12,100 for effecting improvements to the Sri Lakshmi Narayanaswami temple at Sri Krishnarajapet taluk

Govt order No 1027-30/Mng 162—

Order thereon.—No. 36-2 dated 26.1.1937 forwarding a further contribution of Rs 525/— for the improvements to the above temple, from the State and Land Revenue grants for the year 1937-38.

Letter No C 661/37-38 dated the 7.3.1939 forwarded with

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated? (2)

(v) placed at the disposal of the press? Yes

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

(3)

Engineer's Memo No 652 dated the 24.3.1939, requesting sanction to the revised estimate for Rs 12006-10-0 for certain alterations & improvements to the above temple.

Order No 1987-90 Ecc Papers.
10-5-1939.

The revised estimate sanctioned in the G.O. dated 15.12.1935 read above provided a sum of Rs 7340 for the construction of a compound wall and another sum of Rs 4760 for the acquisition charges and the total cost of the estimate has to be met as indicated below

1) Govt Grant	Rs 4575
2) Donations from Mogg Rangaswamy and Venkata Reddy	" 3525
3) Donations from the Mogg gentlemen (subscriptions through Work bills)	" 2000
4) Temple funds	" 2000
Total	Rs 12,100

List of Enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0279—GPR—22 500—14-4 38

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

It is reported the acquisition proceedings were completed and awards amounting to Rs 5225.57 had been paid, thus exceeding the sanctioned amount provided for acquisition in the estimate by Rs 465-57 and that for want of sufficient funds, a sum of only Rs 803.14 has been paid from temple funds towards its quota of Rs 2000/- but the result that the necessary amount was not available for the construction of the conference hall. The Deputy Comm who inspected the place is of opinion that more houses than were necessary have been acquired and has submitted a revised estimate for Rs 12006-10-7 and has proposed that the acquisition of houses Nos 13 and 14 towards the north of the land ~~for which a sum of Rs~~ designated as Rs 775 5-- may be eliminated and the

two of the houses at an estimated
cost of Rs 88-10-0 may be acquired.
He has further proposed that the
balance of Rs 1097-12-0 required
for meeting the cost of the estimate
over and above the contributions
given and promised and the
grants made by Govt. be
met out of the next year's
State and Local Purposes
Fund grants for construction
and repairs. The Municipal
Council has requested sanction
to the above proposals as also
to the construction of the Compound
Wall leaving a clear space of 61 ft
between the temple and the Compound
Wall, the available ~~land~~ being
~~released~~ ~~granted~~ for a footpath.

from funds to be borrowed for the work.

The Municipal Council's recommendations
are ~~is~~ sanctioned

Mr 87-39

Govt Sec for approval
8/5

The Municipal Chairman may be asked
to carry out the
above